

# **DECISION FORM (FIRST INSTANCE)**

PARTICULARS OF OFFENCE			
Player's Name	DOMEN DOBNIKAR		
Player's Union	Slovenia Rugby Union		
Match	Slovenia v Malta		
Competition	Rugby Europe Conference	1 South	
Date of match	30/10/2021		
Match Venue	Ljubljana Slovenia		
Rules to apply	Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook; and		
	Rugby Europe Disciplinary	Regulations 202	0 Edition
Referee Name	Norbert Matrai (Hungary)	Plea	🛛 Admitted
			Not admitted
Offence	Originally accumulation	🛛 Red card	
	of yellow cards but	□ Citing	
	amended to Referee	□ Other	
	abuse contrary to Law 9.28	If "Other" seled	cted, please specify:

HEARING DETAILS			
Hearing date	10/11/2021	Hearing venue	Remote via Microsoft
			Teams
Chairperson/JO	Antony Davies		
Other Members of	Palemia Field and		
the Disciplinary Panel	Andrei Zamfirescu		
Appearance Player	🗆 Yes 🛛 No	Appearance	🛛 Yes 🗆 No
		Union	
Player's	Goran Djuratovic	Other	David Baird-Smith
Representative(s)	(President Slovenia	attendees	(Rugby Europe
	Rugby Union)		Disciplinary Manager)
List of documents /	1. Yellow card report 1	7. World Ru	igby Disciplinary
materials provided to	2. Yellow card report 2	Regulatio	n 17
Player in advance of	3. Red card report	8. Suppleme	ntal statement- Norbert
hearing	4. Video clip	Matrai da	ated 5/11/2021
	5. Match sheet	9. Notice of	f disciplinary hearing
	6. Rugby Europe Disciplina	ary 10. Disciplina	ary form Slovenia Rugby
	Regulations 2020	Union da	ated 6/11/2021

# SUMMARY OF ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF CITING/REFEREE'S REPORT/INCIDENT FOOTAGE

In the 26<sup>th</sup> minute of the second half of the match, the Referee awarded a second yellow card to the Player, which resulted in an ordering off for the accumulation of two yellow cards. The Referee's description of each of the yellow cards was as follows:

Yellow card 1 - "The Player made a dangerous tackle in the maul. He grabbed the opponent's head and pulled him down dangerously".

Yellow card 2 - "The Player told me several times, "fuck you Referee". Therefore I gave him a second yellow card.

The Referee's report on ordering off referred to the second yellow card as having been given under Law 9.28, i.e. not respecting the authority of the Referee.

With regard to the second yellow card, we were concerned that the Referee seemed to have regarded it as only warranting a yellow card and we therefore directed that he provide a more detailed statement and explain why he felt it warranted only a yellow card. His response to that specific point was as follows :

"For the second yellow and the red, I should have given an immediate and direct red card for sure. The words he used to me several times were not acceptable: "fuck you Referee"."

In view of this further information, we used our powers under World Rugby Regulation 17.26.2 to amend the charge from accumulation of yellow cards to Match Official abuse contrary to Law 9.28. The Player through his representative did not object to this course of action and was content for the hearing to proceed on the basis of the amended and more serious charge.

# ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF OTHER EVIDENCE (e.g. medical reports)

On 6<sup>th</sup> November 2021, Slovenia Rugby Union held its own internal disciplinary hearing at which the Player was present. The Union completed a player disciplinary action form. Its decision was that the Player should miss three games and in addition must attend 32 hours of volunteer work at one of the organisations that his Club or Union was involved in helping. The Player had countersigned the decision to confirm that he accepted it.

# SUMMARY OF PLAYER'S EVIDENCE

Unfortunately, the Player was not able to attend the hearing, though he was fully aware of it and had given express instructions to the Slovenia Rugby Union President, Goran Djuratovic to speak on his behalf and with his full authority. The Player had tested positive for Covid 19 and was isolating. He was also suffering some symptoms and had requested the proceedings continue in his absence.

Mr. Djuratovic confirmed that the Player was 27, had been playing 12 years and had been a regular in the national Slovenian side for 5 years. He is an amateur player.

His Union had been extremely surprised to be notified of the incident, because they regarded it as entirely out of character for him. This was the Player's first ever red card. He had not played any rugby during the Covid lockdown and this was his first international match on resumption. He was one of the more senior players amongst a very junior side and his emotions got the better of him. The Player had admitted tackling an opponent who had slipped under him and he had grabbed him in the neck area and pulled him to ground. He did not dispute that a yellow card was correct for this action. Regarding the second yellow card, he felt ashamed that he lost his judgment and discipline and reacted the way he did by saying the words to the Referee. If he had his time again, he would not have done it.

He had apologised to the Referee and his team mates later. He fully admitted abusing the match Referee in the way described and he had accepted his Union's imposition of a three match suspension and the requirement to work as a volunteer for 32 hours in accordance with his Club's Connecting with the Community Project. It was clarified that these hours would be additional to what he already did under that Project, which was aimed mainly at helping children and the elderly.

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

In view of the Referee's supplemental statement and the Player's admission, we had no difficulty in finding that he committed an offence of match official abuse contrary to Law 9.28.

Slovenia Rugby Union are to be commended on their prompt, measured and proportionate approach to the indiscipline of one of their players.

#### DECISION

 $\boxtimes$  Proven  $\square$  Not proven  $\square$  Other disposal (please state)

# SANCTIONING PROCESS

#### ASSESSMENT OF SERIOUSNESS

As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby

#### Assessment of Intent

⊠ Intentional/deliberate □ Reckless

#### State Reasons

The Player deliberately used the words "fuck you Referee" several times to the match official during the game

#### Gravity of player's actions

We viewed the video footage. We noted an absence of any form of aggressive demeanour or behaviour on the Player's part when addressing the Referee. There was no physical engagement. In fact, he was some distance from the Referee. We also noted that the Referee's initial reaction to being abused was to award a yellow card

#### Nature of actions

Verbal abuse, including swearing, on several occasions

Existence of provocation

N/A

#### Whether player retaliated

N/A

#### Self-defence

N/A

#### Effect on victim

The Referee did not at any stage say that he had been upset or felt threatened by the Player's behaviour. He received a post match apology which he accepted and his award of an on field yellow card indicated that he did not regard it as the most serious of incidents.

#### Effect on match

N/A

#### Vulnerability of victim

N/A

# Level of participation/premeditation

Full

# Conduct completed/attempted

Completed

#### Other features of player's conduct

Given what we were told about the Player and observed in the match footage, we regarded this incident as arising out of general frustration by the Player with himself and the young team, rather than any enmity for this particular match official.

#### Entry point

□ Top end **[XX]** Weeks □ Mid-range **[XX]** Weeks □ Low-end €

⊠ Low-end 6 Weeks

\*If Top End, the JO or Panel should identify, if appropriate, an entry point between the Top End and the maximum sanction and provide the reasons for selecting this entry point, below.

#### Reasons for selecting Entry Point above Top End

[Insert]

# rugby europe

ADDITIONAL RELEVANT OFF-FIELD AGGRAVATING FACTORS
As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game
None found
Need for deterrence
None found
Any other off-field aggravating factors
None found

Number of additional weeks: 0

Summary of reason for number of weeks added:
[Insert]

<b>RELEVANT OFF-FIELD MITIGATING FACTORS</b> As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulation	is and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing	Player's disciplinary record/good character
The Player acknowledged his guilt immediately with a post match apology to the Referee, then his admission to the Slovenia Rugby Union internal disciplinary Panel on 5 <sup>th</sup> November and through his representative at the hearing <b>Youth and inexperience of player</b>	The Player has an exemplary disciplinary record. He has not been red carded or, we were told, yellow carded in all his previous playing career. Conduct prior to and at hearing
The Player has been playing 12 years and a regular national for the Slovenia side for 5 years. He is therefore to be regarded as an experienced player who should know better.	His conduct prior to the hearing was appropriate in terms of remorse and apologies. That continued through the Slovenia RU internal disciplinary process and in his instructions to his representative to put forward his admissions and apologies at the hearing, which unfortunately he could not attend.
Remorse and timing of remorse	Other off-field mitigation
Immediate and sustained. As well as his apology to the match official, he had apologised to his team mates and coaches. He had further apologised to his team mates because of his unavailability for the match which had taken place against Croatia on 6 <sup>th</sup> November, which he had missed because of his suspension. He also felt that as well as his players and coaches, he had let his family down – his father being part of the Olympic Committee. He felt particular embarrassment, pressure and pain at letting his father down by his behaviour towards a match official	Whilst we had no power to order this, it was our view that some of the 32 hours' additional voluntary work which the Player is to do through his Club/Union, should involve refereeing Rugby Union which may give the Player more insight into the difficulties match officials face.

Number of weeks deducted: 3

Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted: We found the Player's mitigation genuine and fulsome.

# SANCTION

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning – RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction	3 weeks	□ Sending off sufficient
Sanction commences		30/10/2021
Sanction concludes		21/11/2021
Matches/tournaments included in sanction		6/11/2021 Slovenia v Croatia
		13/11/2021 RK Ljubljana v RK Olimpija
		20/11/2021 RK Maribor v RK Ljubljana

Costs	None awarded or sought
-------	------------------------

Date	12/11/2021
Signature (JO or Chairman)	Audaves

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)