DECISION FORM

To be sent to discipline@rugbyeurope.eu.



Particulars of offence	
Player's Name: Haris Begic	
Player's number: 14	
Player's union: Bosnia and Herzegovina	
Competition: Rugby Europe Conference (2023-24)	
Host Team (T1): Slovenia	Visiting Team (T2): Bosnia and Herzegovina
Venue: Oval stadium, Ljubljana	
Date of match: 21/10/23	
Rules to apply: Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook;	or Tournament Disciplinary Program; or Other
Referee Name: Anatolie Tipa	
Plea: 🛛 Admitted 🗌 Not admitted	
Offence: 🗆 Red card 🛛 Citing 🛛 Other	
If "Other" selected, please specify: Misconduct	
Hearing details	
Chairperson / JO: Matthew O'Grady	
Other Members of the Disciplinary Panel:	
- Dany Roelands	
- Marcello d'Orey	
Hearing date: 26/10/2023	
Hearing venue: On remote	
Appearance Player: 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
Appearance Union: 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
Player's Representative(s):	
- Mr. Haris Begic, #14 player	
 Mr. Armin Berberovic, Union general secretary Mr. Adi Mahic, team manager and Union coordinator 	
Other attendees: NA	
List of documents/ materials considered by the Panel:	
1. Game Sheet	
2. Report from the Match Commissioner	
3. Report from the Referee	
4. Report from the Assistant Referee	
5. Video Clip of the incident	
Link to a web page (social network where the incide shots of the page.	int has been published and commented) + 2 screen
7. Report from the referee	
8. Report from the Assistant referee	
Summary of essential elements of citing / Referee's rep	ort / Incident footage
The footage shows:	
1. The final whistle was blown by the Referee.	

The Touch Judge (appointed by opposition team) was stood near the 22m line and touchline.

2.

- 3. The Player ran at pace from over 20m away at the Touch Judge.
- 4. The Touch Judge raised his arm to keep the Player away and stepped backwards.
- 5. With his body the Player pushed at the Referee's hand.
- 6. The Player pushed the Touch Judge's hand away with his right hand.
- 7. Many people rushed onto the field and there was a significant confrontation.
- 8. In the mele of people the Player fell to the floor.

The Touch Judge gave written evidence. In summary:

- 1. The Player ran towards him visibly angry.
- 2. The Touch Judge defensively put his hand up.
- 3. The Player slapped the Touch Judge's hand away twice.
- 4. The Player swore at the Touch Judge.
- 5. Many people ran onto the pitch.
- 6. The Touch Judge fell backwards. He was not pushed by the Player.
- 7. The Touch Judge was apologised to after the match and he judged the apology to be sincere.

Essential elements of other evidence (e.g. medical reports)

Deliberately left blank

Summary of player's evidence

The Player:

- 1. Accepted his behaviour was unacceptable.
- 2. Accepted he ran at the Touch Judge and swore at him.
- 3. Accepted pushing the Touch Judge's hand away.
- 4. Accepted his behaviour was threatening.
- 5. Said he was very sorry

Findings of fact

We find on the balance of probabilities:

- 1. The Player ran from a distance.
- 2. The Player's behaviour was highly confrontational and threatening in that he:
 - a. Used abusive language to the Touch Judge
 - b. Violated the Touch Judge's personal space
- 3. The Player physically abused the Touch Judge by slapping his hand and pushing his body against the Touch Judge's hand.
- 4. The Player's behaviour triggered a significant mele of other people of the field of play.

Decision

 \boxtimes Proven \square Not proven \square Other disposal (please state)

SANCTIONNING PROCESS

Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
Assessment of intent:
⊠ Intentional/deliberate □ Reckless
Reasons for finding as to intend:
Running over to the Touch Judge, shouting at him, pushing at him were all deliberate. None were reckless.
Nature of actions
See findings.
Existence of provocation:
None.
Whether player retaliated:
Not applicable.
Self-defence:
None
Effect on victim:
The Game is significantly damaged by this behaviour. It undermines the Game's values and deters people from participating as Players and Officials. The incident was published to an online audience.
The threatening behaviour was obviously intimidating to the Match Official.
Effect on match:
Full-time had been blown. Many people ran onto the field, triggered by the Player's actions.
Vulnerability of victim:
The Touch Judge was very vulnerable. He was clearly intimidated as he walked back.
Level of participation / premeditation:

The Player was the sole participant in the misconduct.

Conduct com	pleted /	attempted:	
conduct com	picted /	attempted.	

Completed.

Other features of player's conduct:

 Entry point

 Low-end
 Weeks
 Mid-range
 Weeks
 Top end
 Weeks

 Image: Ima

Relevant off-field mitigating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby			
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing:	Player's disciplinary record / good character:		
The Player admitted his guilt at the earliest opportunity.	The Player has no disciplinary matters on his record.		
Youth and inexperience of player:	Conduct prior to and at hearing:		
The Player is 26 years old. He has played Rugby since he was 13 years old. He has had over 20 appearances for his national side and he has played in his national 7s team.	Excellent		
Remorse and timing of Remorse	Other off-field mitigation:		
The Player was very remorseful and apologetic.	Not applicable		

Number of weeks deducted: [XX]
Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:
Not applicable because the offence is Misconduct.

Additional relevant off-field aggravating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby

Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game:

Deliberately left blank

Need for deterrence:

Deliberately left blank

Any other off-field aggravating factors:

Deliberately left blank

Number of additional weeks: [XX]

Summary of reason for number of weeks added:

Not applicable because the offence is Misconduct.

SANCTION

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning – RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction: 14 weeks

□ Sending off sufficient

Sanction commences: Immediately

Sanction concludes: TBC upon receipt of fixture list.

Matches/ tournaments included in sanction: TBC upon receipt of fixture list.

Costs:

Signature

Name of the JO or Chairman: Matthew O'Grady

Date: 03/11/2023

Signature (JO or Chairman):

/s/ Matthew O'Grady

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)