DECISION FORM





Particulars of offence				
Player's Name: Sandra Lihovida				
Player's number: 13				
Player's union: Latvia				
Competition: Rugby Europe 7s Trophy Series (Women's)				
Host Team (T1): Latvia Visiting Team (T2): Georgia				
Venue: Zagreb				
Date of match: 17/06/2023				
Rules to apply: Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook / Tournament Disciplinary Program				
Referee Name: Antonia Nussbaumer				
Plea: ⊠ Admitted □ Not admitted				
Offence: ⊠ Red card □ Citing □ Other				
If "Other" selected, please specify:				
Hearing details				
Chairperson / JO: Jennifer Donovan				
Other Members of the Disciplinary Panel: N/a				
-				
Hearing date: 17/06/2023				
Hearing venue: Zagreb				
Appearance Player: ⊠ Yes □ No				
Appearance Union: 🛛 Yes 🗆 No				
Player's Representative(s): Girts Sirsnims, Team Manager				
Other attendees:				
List of documents/ materials considered by the Panel: Sending off Report				
Summary of essential elements of citing / Referee's report / Incident footage				
The Referee reported that the player had been sent off for an offence contrary to Law. 9.12				
The report indicated that the incident occurred in the second minute of the first half. The report stated				
report stated: "After pink knocked the ball backwards legally Latvia's #13 yelled at me: Are you fucking				
kidding me?"				
Summary of Player's Evidence				
The player confirmed that she was the player who had received the red card.				
The player was advised that the J.O. had made the decision to amend the offence from 9.12				
to 9.28 and that the offence the subject of the hearing would now be one of disrespecting				
the authority of the referee.				
The player accepted that she had committed an act of foul play and that the offending				
warranted a red card. She accepted that the referee's report was a fair reflection of the				
incident.				

The player apologised unreservedly for what she had said. She fully accepted that she should not have spoken in the manner in which she had. She said that the comment was not directed personally at the referee but that she had lost control of herself in that moment. She said that she fully agreed with the decision to send her off and that she wished to apologise to everyone involved.

The player asked if it would be appropriate to approach the referee directly to offer an apology in person. The hearing took place very quickly after the match and she did not have an opportunity earlier and did not know if it was appropriate.

The player indicated that she is a referee herself and ought to have know better. The player was very remorseful.

Findings of fact

It was found, and that player admitted, that she had committed an offence contrary to Law 9.28 and that the offending warranted a red card.

The J.O. exercised her discretion under Rugby Europe Regulation 4.5 in amending the charge from a breach of Law 9.12 to Law 9.28, 9.28 dealing more specifically with foul play relating to match officials. The J.O. was not of the view that the offending amounted to abuse but that it was more properly categorised as disrespecting the authority of the match official.

Decision
☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state)

SANCTIONNING PROCESS

Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby					
Assessment of in		nary Regulations and	Thegulations 17 of W	она кадру	
✓ Intentional/deliberate □ Reckless					
Reasons for find					
			ng possible to si	peak unintention	ally It was
_		•		on the decision th	•
•					arra remark
directly personally toward the referee but a fully unacceptable one. Nature of actions					
Verbal					
Existence of pro	vocation:				
N/a					
Whether player	retaliated:				
N/a					
Self-defence:					
N/a					
Effect on victim:					
N/a					
Effect on match					
None apart from		eam being disa	dvantaged.		
Vulnerability of					
N/a in the physical sense					
Level of particip	ation / premed	litation:			
No premeditatio	n.				
Conduct completed / attempted:					
Completed.					
Other features of player's conduct:					
Entry point					
Entry point	\\/aala	N4id names	\\/aaka	Tanand	\\/ a a ca
Low-end	Weeks	Mid-range	Weeks	Top end	Weeks
	2		[XX]		[XX]
Reasons for selecting entry point:					
Offending held to be low end based on the factors outlined above.					
Delevent off field witigating factors					
Relevant off-field mitigating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby					
					nd character:
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing:		Player's disciplinary record / good character: Clean			
5					
Youth and inexperience of player: Conduct prior to and at hearing:					
5 years' experience of international 7s			Player was upset, remorseful and fully co-		

operative.

Remorse and timing of Remorse	Other off-field mitigation:
At hearing.	N/a

Number of weeks deducted: 1

Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:

It was held that the player was entitled to full mitigation based on her apology, remorse, conduct at the hearing and clean disciplinary record.

Additional relevant off-field aggravating factors
As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby

Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game:

N/a

Need for deterrence:

Any other off-field aggravating factors:

N/a

Number of additional weeks: 0

Summary of reason for number of weeks added:

N/a

SANCTION

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning – RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction: 1 match	☐ Sending off sufficient				
Sanction commences: 17/06/2023					
Sanction concludes: Midnight 18/06/2023					
Matches/ tournaments included in sanction: The player's final play off game in the present					
tournament (opposition unknown at time of hearing)					
Costs:					
Signature					
Name of the JO or Chairman: Jennifer Donovan					
Date:17/06/2023					
Signature (JO or Chairman):					
Jennifer Donovan					

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)