DECISION FORM





Particulars of offence			
Player's Name: Kamil Mihai Sobota			
Player's number: 7			
Player's union: Romania			
Competition: Men Championship			
Host Team (T1): Poland	Visiting Team (T2): Romania		
Venue: Narodowy Stadion Rugby, Gdynia, Poland			
Date of match: 04/02/2024			
Rules to apply: 9.13 – Dangerous tackle; A player must	not tackle an opponent early, late or dangerously.		
Referee Name: Keane Davidson			
Plea: ⊠ Admitted □ Not admitted			
Offence: ☐ Red card ☒ Citing ☐ Other			
If "Other" selected, please specify:			
Hearing details			
Chairperson / JO: Martin Picton			
Other Members of the Disciplinary Panel:			
- Michiel van Dijk			
- Eric Jara Lliteras			
Hearing date: 06/02/2024			
Hearing venue: On remote			
Appearance Player: ⊠ Yes □ No			
Appearance Union: ⊠ Yes □ No			
Player's Representative(s): Horatiu Bargaunas			
Other attendees: Aaron Lloyd			
List of documents/ materials considered by the Panel:			
1. Citing Commissioner report			
2. Game Sheet			
3. Video clip of the incident			

Summary of essential elements of citing / Referee's report / Incident footage

POL 1 (Jakub Wojtkowicz) receives the ball within Romania's half positioned 16m from the right touchline and 11m deep. Progressing forward approximately 2 metres, he engages in collision. Concurrently, ROM7 (Kamil Mihai Sobota) runs forward from a short distance 2 defend. At the point of impact, both players maintain not significantly bent body position. The first contact between the players is on the face and the ROM7 player does not use his arms to grab the opponent during the collision. Subsequent to the incident both players fall to the ground and the referee stops the game immediately. The medic staff enters the field of play to maintain the players. After the medical assistants, both players continue to play and the referee gives a Yellow Card for the ROM7 player. Based on the head contact protocol: is their head contact - yes; is it foul play - yes; degree of danger - high.

The footage was consistent with that description.

Essential elements of other evidence (e.g. medical reports)
N/A
Summary of player's evidence
The Player accepted that he had committed an act of foul play and that given the head contact it passed the red card test. He related that he apologised to his opponent both ion the field immediately after the tackle but also once the game had concluded – seeking out POL1 in order to do so. He emphasised that he had intended to carry out a legal tackle but accepted that he did not manage to lower his body height in time or wrap in a legal manner.
Findings of fact
The Player committed an act of foul play that amounted to the disciplinary offence charged and that it passed the red card test.
Decision
☐ Proven ☒ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state)

SANCTIONING PROCESS

Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
Assessment of intent:
☐ Intentional/deliberate ⊠ Reckless
Reasons for finding as to intend:
He tried to make a legal tackle but got it wrong. He did not intend to initiate head contact.
Nature of actions
Tackled with too high a body position thus creating the risk of head contact. The contact that took place carried with it a high degree of danger but in the event no injury resulted.
Existence of provocation:
NA
Whether player retaliated:
NA
Self-defence:
NA
Effect on victim:
None after treatment on the ground.
Effect on match:
None save that the Player himself received a yellow card.
Vulnerability of victim:
As vulnerable as any player in a head contact situation.
Level of participation / premeditation:
Reckless as opposed to intentional.
Conduct completed / attempted:

Completed.						
Other features of player's conduct:						
Did not protest the referee's decision and was immediately apologetic.						
Entry point						
Low-end	Weeks	Mid-range	Weeks	Top end	Weeks	

Reasons for selecting entry point:

Head contact dictates mid-range. We did not consider there was any basis to go higher.

Relevant off-field mitigating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby			
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing:	Player's disciplinary record / good character:		
Immediate and genuine.	Two previous red cards but for different types of offence. One in 2020 and one in 2021.		
Youth and inexperience of player:	Conduct prior to and at hearing:		
NA	Exemplary – it was indicated in advance of the hearing that the Player accepted the charge. There was no requirement for any evidence to be called. Some might have chosen to try and argue the level of danger but the Player did not.		
Remorse and timing of Remorse	Other off-field mitigation:		
Genuine remorse and immediate.			

Number of weeks deducted: [3]

Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:

We hesitated to afford the Player the full 50% mitigation but decided to do so because of his immediate apology, genuine remorse and frank acceptance of wrong doing.

Additional relevant off-field aggravating factors		
As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game:		
NA		
Need for deterrence:		
Need for deterrence.		
NA		
Any other off-field aggravating factors:		
NA		
Number of additional weeks: [o]		
Summary of reason for number of weeks added:		
NA		

Confidential - ©Rugby Europe Page 5/6

SANCTION

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning - RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction: 3 weeks/matches	☐ Sending off sufficient	
Sanction commences: 10/2/24		
Sanction concludes: 3/3/24		
Matches/ tournaments included in sanction: February 10th: Romania vs. Belgium February 17th: Romania vs. Portugal March 2nd-3rd: RE Semi-finals		
Costs:		
Signature		
Name of the JO or Chairman: Martin Picton		
Date: 06/02/2024		
Signature (JO or Chairman):		
M Picton		

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)