## **DECISION FORM**





Particulars of offence				
Player's Name: Gabriel Placinta				
Player's number: 8				
Player's union: Romania				
Competition: Rugby Europe U20 Championship (XV)				
Host Team (T1): Romania	Visiting Team (T2): Czechia			
Venue: Marketa Stadium Prague				
Date of match: 16/11/2025				
Rules to apply: Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook; Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations				
Referee Name: Saba MAKHARADZE				
Plea: ☑ Admitted ☐ Not admitted				
Offence: ☐ Red card ☒ Citing ☐ Other				
If "Other" selected, please specify:				
Hearing details				
Chairperson: Antony Davies (ENG)				
Other Members of the Disciplinary Panel:				
- Francesco Grillo (ITA)				
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA)				
- Francesco Grillo (ITA)				
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA)				
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA) Hearing date: November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2025				
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA) Hearing date: November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2025 Hearing venue: On remote				
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA) Hearing date: November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2025 Hearing venue: On remote Appearance Player: ⊠ Yes □ No	ger)			
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA) Hearing date: November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2025 Hearing venue: On remote Appearance Player: ☒ Yes ☐ No Appearance Union: ☒ Yes ☐ No	ger)			
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA) Hearing date: November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2025 Hearing venue: On remote Appearance Player: ⊠ Yes □ No Appearance Union: ⊠ Yes □ No Player's Representative(s): Carcei Ovidiu (Team Manag	ger)			
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA) Hearing date: November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2025 Hearing venue: On remote Appearance Player: ☒ Yes ☐ No Appearance Union: ☒ Yes ☐ No Player's Representative(s): Carcei Ovidiu (Team Manag	ger)			
- Francesco Grillo (ITA) - Flaminia Longobardi (ITA) Hearing date: November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2025 Hearing venue: On remote Appearance Player: ☒ Yes ☐ No Appearance Union: ☒ Yes ☐ No Player's Representative(s): Carcei Ovidiu (Team Managother attendees: David Baird-Smith (Rugby Europe) List of documents/ materials considered by the Panel:	ger)			

## Summary of essential elements of citing / Referee's report / Incident footage

The report of the Citing Commissioner, David Connolly, dated 16<sup>th</sup> November 2025 referred to an incident in the 60<sup>th</sup> minute of the game, where Romania had been awarded a lineout approximately 8 meters from the Czechia line. After the lineout was taken, the Referee penalised Romania and awarded Czechia a free kick. Czechia 9 attempted to take the ball from Romania 8 to take the free kick, during which Romania 8 struck Czechia 9 in the face with his head. The CC concluded that those actions passed the red card threshold and cited Romania 8 for striking an opponent in the face with his head, contrary to Law 9.12.

We viewed the incident footage, which showed the incident exactly as described by the CC. The ball is thrown to the player at the front of the lineout. He catches it and returns to ground, following which a free kick was awarded to Czechia. He is in possession of the ball. Czechia 9 attempts to take it from him and he appears not to want to give it up. C9 continues to try and get the ball from the player's grasp and the player leans forward towards C9 and makes contact with C9's face, using his head. It is a clear head to face contact. The incident

appears not to have been noted by the Match Officials, despite a number of Czechia players expressing some incredulity at what they had just seen.
Essential elements of other evidence (e.g. medical reports)
There was no injury and therefore no medical report.
Summary of player's evidence
The player, Placinta Gabriel, had made a written statement in advance of the hearing confirming that he was a member of the Romanian Under 20 team. During the match on 16 <sup>th</sup> November against the Czech Republic team and towards the end of the game he confirmed he had made a "reprehensible gesture that I cannot explain myself and for which I feel ashamed because in my short career I have never had an outburst like this before. I am sorry that I have lost my control, perhaps due to fatigue or excessive desire for results."
Findings of fact
<ul> <li>There was direct contact between the player's head and the face of the victim player</li> <li>The contact was without significant force or consequence. There was no injury. It was not carried out in an aggressive forceful manner</li> <li>It was unjustified.</li> </ul>
Decision
Decision
<ul> <li>☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state)</li> </ul>
☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state) SANCTIONING PROCESS
☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state) SANCTIONING PROCESS Assessment of seriousness
☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state) SANCTIONING PROCESS
☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state) SANCTIONING PROCESS Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby  Assessment of intent:
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby  Assessment of intent:  Intentional/deliberate Reckless
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby Assessment of intent:  Intentional/deliberate Reckless  State reasons:
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby Assessment of intent:  Intentional/deliberate Reckless  State reasons:  The player had accepted that he had carried out the actions deliberately, but without intent to cause injury
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby  Assessment of intent:  Intentional/deliberate Reckless  State reasons:  The player had accepted that he had carried out the actions deliberately, but without intent to cause injury  Nature of actions
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby Assessment of Intent:  ☐ Intentional/deliberate ☐ Reckless  State reasons: The player had accepted that he had carried out the actions deliberately, but without intent to cause injury Nature of actions These are described in the CC report. There was very little force or aggravation and one contact only Existence of provocation:  We saw nothing in the actions of C9 to which the player could have objected. C9 was simply attempting to get hold of the ball following the award of the free kick to continue with the game. To his credit, the player did not advance provocation as a motivation for doing what he did.
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby Assessment of intent:  Intentional/deliberate Reckless State reasons: The player had accepted that he had carried out the actions deliberately, but without intent to cause injury Nature of actions These are described in the CC report. There was very little force or aggravation and one contact only Existence of provocation: We saw nothing in the actions of C9 to which the player could have objected. C9 was simply attempting to get hold of the ball following the award of the free kick to continue with the game. To his credit, the player did not advance provocation as a motivation for doing what he did. Whether player retaliated:
SANCTIONING PROCESS  Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby Assessment of Intent:  ☐ Intentional/deliberate ☐ Reckless  State reasons: The player had accepted that he had carried out the actions deliberately, but without intent to cause injury Nature of actions These are described in the CC report. There was very little force or aggravation and one contact only Existence of provocation:  We saw nothing in the actions of C9 to which the player could have objected. C9 was simply attempting to get hold of the ball following the award of the free kick to continue with the game. To his credit, the player did not advance provocation as a motivation for doing what he did.

N/A

Effect on victim:
None, he was not injured
Effect on match:
None
Vulnerability of victim:
The victim player would not have been expecting the act of foul play as he was simply trying to take possession
of the ball to continue the game.
Level of participation / premeditation:
Full
Conduct completed / attempted:
Completed contact made
Other features of player's conduct:
None found

Low-end	Weeks	Mid-range	Weeks	Top end	Weeks
easons for selecti	ng entry point:		10		

Relevant off-field mitigating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby			
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing:	Player's disciplinary record / good character:		
Full and immediate – the player accepted the citing immediately it was made and in his disciplinary statement in response. That admission was continued at the hearing	The player has no previous disciplinary record and is of good character.		
Youth and inexperience of player:	Conduct prior to and at hearing:		
The player has been playing for four years. He has been with the national team at representative age groups for three years and plays Club rugby, but he is not particularly experienced.	The player presented well and displayed genuine remorse for his actions.		
Remorse and timing of Remorse	Other off-field mitigation:		
The player described himself as feeling ashamed and apologised that he had lost control. After the game, he went to apologise to his opponent and that apology was accepted. He was relieved that there was no injury.			

## Number of weeks deducted: 5

Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:

In view of the mitigation considered, we felt the player should be allowed the maximum permissible mitigation

Additional relevant off-field aggravating factors					
As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of W Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game:					
rays. 9 states as an oriented of the Laws of the dame.					
Need for deterrence:					
Any other off-field aggravating factors:					
Number of additional weeks:					
Summary of reason for number of weeks added:					
SANCTION					
NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissio	ner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of				
their case, such suspension should be taken into conside	eration when sanctioning – RE Discipline Regulations				
4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)					
Total sanction: 5 weeks/matches	☐ Sending off sufficient				
Sanction commences: 16 <sup>th</sup> November 2025					
Sanction concludes: TBC					
Matches/ tournaments included in sanction:					
The player did not have a definitive schedule of matches and tournaments and the Disciplinary Committee will					
confirm the games to be missed once this information has been supplied to Rugby Europe. In the meantime,					
the player remains suspended.					
Costs:					
Signature	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T				
Name of the JO or Chairman: Antony Davies					
Date: 20 <sup>th</sup> November 2025					
Signature (JO or Chairman):					

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)