

# **DECISION FORM**

PARTICULARS OF OFFENCE		
Player's Name	Matheo Apollo TRIKI	
Player's Union	Spain Rugby Union	
Match	Portugal v Spain	
Competition	Rugby Europe U20 Championship	
Date of match	13/11/2021	
Match Venue	EM Taviero, Coimbra	
Rules to apply	Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook; or	
	Tournament Disciplinary Program	
Referee Name	Shota TEVZADZE	Plea
Offence	9.12 Physical abuse	□ Red card
		☐ Citing
		☐ Other
		If "Other" selected,
		please specify:

HEARING DETAILS		
Hearing date	23/11/2021	Hearing venue
Chairperson/JO	Michael Coghlan	
Other Members of the	Donal Courtney	
Disciplinary Panel	Samantha Hillas QC	
Appearance Player	⊠ Yes □ No	Appearance Union
Player's Representative(s)	Eric Jarrar	Other attendees
List of documents / materials	1. Red Card Report (R)	
provided to Player in advance	2. Red Card Report (AR)	
of hearing	3. Match Sheet	
	4. Video Clips	

### SUMMARY OF ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF CITING/REFEREE'S REPORT/INCIDENT FOOTAGE

In the 80<sup>th</sup> minute of the game and just after a knock on at the touchline a fight broke out between a number of players on both sides. There were a number of players between myself and the incidents in question. I saw the assistant referee raise his flag. He reported to me that No. 8 (Spain) had punched No. 20 (Portugal) in the face and that No. 20 Portugal had punched the head of the No. 8 in return. (Referee – Shota Tevzadze) Upon receipt of this information I summoned the player and his Captain to me and issued the player with a Red Card.



## ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF OTHER EVIDENCE (e.g. medical reports)

The Assistant Referee Report of Jonny Perriam confirms the above described events and and aligns with the Referee's decision to issue a red card to the player.

#### SUMMARY OF PLAYER'S EVIDENCE

The player firstly accepted that the referee was justified in issuing him with a red card. He admitted that he had punched his opponent as described. He said that he had not intended to get involved and had turned his back on his opponents when trying to separate his own team members. He said that he had felt threatened and threw the punch. He said that he had apologised to the Portugal No.20 immediately upon leaving the field of play and the Portugal No. 20 had apologised to him in return.

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Disciplinary Panel, having noted the content of the papers presented in this case, the evidence taken, the representations of Mr. Jarrar and having carefully considered the video clip of the events in question, are unanimously of the view that the player (Mateo Triki) had thrown a punch to the face/head of his opponent as seen and duly reported by Assistant Referee Jonny Perriam in or about the 80<sup>th</sup> minute of the game between Portugal U20 and Spain U20 on the 13<sup>th</sup> of November 2021 at the EM Taviero, Coimbra contrary to Section 9.12 of the World Rugby Code.

DECISION	
☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state)	

# **SANCTIONING PROCESS**

ASSESSMENT OF SERIOUSNES	S	
As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe D	isciplinary Regulations and Regulation	ns 17 of World Rugby
Assessment of Intent		
State Reasons		
See above		
Gravity of player's actions		
There was potential for injury.	But such injury unlikely to have	e been serious.
Nature of actions		
Punch to the Face		
Existence of provocation		
Some, in the context of the ge	neral melee that was going on	around the player.
Whether player retaliated		
N/A		
Self-defence		
N/A		
Effect on victim		
Nothing significant		
Effect on match		
None		
Vulnerability of victim		
N/A		
Level of participation/premed	itation	
No premeditation		
Conduct completed/attempte	d	
Completed		
Other features of player's con	duct	
N/A		
Entry point		
☐ Top end <b>[XX]</b> Weeks		☐ Low-end <b>[XX]</b> Weeks
*If Top End, the JO or Panel sh	nould identify, if appropriate, ar	n entry point between the Top
End and the maximum sanctio	n and provide the reasons for se	electing this entry point, below.
Reasons for selecting Entry Po	int above Top End	
N/A		
ADDITIONAL RELEVANT OFF-F	IELD AGGRAVATING FACTORS	
As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe D	Disciplinary Regulations and Regulation	ns 17 of World Rugby
Player's status as an offender	of the Laws of the Game	
1 Previous Red Card for dange	erous play and 1 yellow card for	foul play
Need for deterrence		
Not in this case		
Any other off-field aggravating	g factors	
None		

Number of additional weeks: 0

Summary of reason for number of weeks added:
N/A

RELEVANT OFF-FIELD MITIGATING FACTORS  As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby	
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing	Player's disciplinary record/good character
Admission of guilt prior to hearing	
Youth and inexperience of player	Conduct prior to and at hearing
Player only 20 years of age	
Remorse and timing of remorse	Other off-field mitigation
Immediate apology to opponent	

Number of weeks deducted: 3

## Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:

Though the player had participated in the melee between the two teams he had not been the instigator. He displayed immediate remorse and presented excellently before the disciplinary panel. He was inexperienced as a player (U20). Therefore maximum mitigation

### **SANCTION**

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning – RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction	3 weeks / Matches	☐ Sending off sufficient
Sanction commences		15 November 2021
Sanction concludes		19 December 2021 at midnight
Matches/tournaments	included in sanction	

Date	23 November 2021
Signature (JO or Chairman)	
	Míchael Coghlan

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)