DECISION FORM





Particulars of offence
Player's Name: Nikola Pavlovic
Player's number: 5
Player's union: Croatia
Competition: Rugby Europe 7s Trophy Series (Men's)
Host Team (T1): Hungary Visiting Team (T2): Croatia
Venue: Zagreb
Date of match: 18/06/2023
Rules to apply: Tournament Disciplinary Programme
Referee Name: Martin Pertenais
Plea: □ Admitted ⊠ Not admitted
Offence: ☐ Red card
If "Other" selected, please specify:
Hearing details
JO: Jennifer Donovan
Other Members of the Disciplinary Panel: N/a
Hearing date: 18/06/2023
Hearing venue: Zagreb
Appearance Player: ⊠ Yes □ No
Appearance Union: ⊠ Yes □ No
Player's Representative(s): Team Manager, Bojan Colnago
Other attendees:
List of documents/ materials considered by the Panel: Citing Report and match Footage
Summary of essential elements of citing / Referee's report / Incident footage
The report of the Citing Commissioner indicated that the player had been cited for an offence contrary to Law 9.17. The report stated that following the kick off Hungary No. 8 lifted Hungary No. 9 ("H9") who received the ball cleanly; that the player having chased the kick, jumped in the air with his left arm extended, not being in a realistic position to catch the ball.
It was reported that the player looped his left hand through the right arm of H9, grasping him just by his elbow and causing him to tip forward dangerously resulting in him falling on his right arm, shoulder and head.
The incident could be seen on the short clip made available to the JO.
SUMMARY OF PLAYER'S EVIDENCE
The player accepted that he had committed an act of foul play, having made contact in the air with H9. The player did not accept that the offending met the red card threshold.
The player's representative submitted that the player had been trying to tap the ball back,

as he had been coached to do. He said that as the player pulled his arm back, his hand had

become caught up in the arm of H9 and in that way the player had pulled the arm of H9. The player did not agree that he had grasped the arm of H9.

It was accepted that the offending was dangerous but it was submitted that the act was not highly dangerous. It was accepted that the player landed on his shoulder but it was not accepted that this constituted a dangerous landing. It was also argued that a poor lift by the supporting player had contributed to the tipping forward of H9. The player was asked why he had put his hands up upon landing. The player's representative indicated that he was coached to do so and to retreat 10 meters immediately upon the awarding of a penalty.

Findings of fact

It was found that the player had pulled on the arm of H9 whilst that player's feet were off the ground and that he had done so recklessly, having not been in a realistic position to gather the ball. It was found that although H9 was not injured, H9 had landed dangerously on his shoulder.

In determining if the offending, which was admitted, reached the red card threshold, the JO considered the guidance issued by World Rugby in relation to such incidents. The guidance provides that a red card should be awarded where a player is not in a realistic position to gather the ball, where there is reckless or deliberate foul play and where the opposition player lands in dangerous position. It was held that, accordingly, the red card threshold was met in relation to this incident.

The in relation to the mercent.				
Decision				
☑ Proven □ Not proven □ Other disposal (please state)				

SANCTIONNING PROCESS

Assessment of s As per Article 4.5 of Ru		nary Regulations and	l Regulations 17 of W	orld Ruaby	
Assessment of in		nary negarations and	negarations 17 of 11	ona nagby	
	deliberate 🛭 I	Reckless			
Reasons for find					
			than deliberate	, the player havii	ng intended to
				contact with the	· ·
air.			, 3		. ,
Nature of action	ıs				
Contact with a player who's feet were off the ground.					
Existence of pro	vocation:				
N/a					
Whether player	retaliated:				
N/a					
Self-defence:					
N/a					
Effect on victim:					
Uninjured and c	ontinued to pla	ay.			
Effect on match					
Nil					
Vulnerability of	victim:				
The victim player was in a vulnerable position as he was in the air.					
Level of participation / premeditation:					
Full participation, no pre-meditation.					
Conduct completed / attempted:					
Completed.					
Other features of	of player's cond	duct:			
N/a					
Entry point			<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Low-end	Weeks	Mid-range	Weeks	Top end	Weeks
	4		[XX]		[XX]
Reasons for sele	cting entry poi	int:			
Offending held to be low end based on the factors outlined above.					
Relevant off-field mitigating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby					
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing: Player's disciplination			inary record / go	od character:	
Acceptance of foul play at the hearing The player had a red card			a red card this s	eason for	
		verbal abuse of a match official for which he			
			said that he received a 3 week suspension.		
Youth and inexperience of player:			Conduct prior to and at hearing:		

The player 31 and had 10 years experience at	Acceptable.
this level. Remorse and timing of Remorse	Other off-field mitigation:
The player was unaware that there was an issue until the citing and so did not apologise	N/a

Number of weeks deducted: 1

N/a

Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:

The player is entitled to mitigation for his conduct and the hearing and for accepting that he had committed and act of foul play. For those reasons 1 week was deducted. It was found that the player was not entitled to any further mitigation for the reasons outlined above.

Additional relevant off-field aggravating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game: N/a Need for deterrence: N/a Any other off-field aggravating factors:

Number of additional weeks: 0
Summary of reason for number of weeks added:
N/a

SANCTION

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning – RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction: 4 matches	☐ Sending off sufficient			
Sanction commences: 18/06/2023				
Sanction concludes: To be confirmed once the player's playing schedule is confirmed				
Matches/ tournaments included in sanction: The final of the present tournament v				
Ukraine. The following 3 matches to be confirmed.				
Costs: Nil				
Signature				
Name of the JO: Jennifer Donovan				
Date: 18/06/2023				
Signature (JO or Chairman):				
Jennifer Donovan.				

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)